

**STATE OF MAINE  
ONE HUNDRED AND TWENTY-SECOND LEGISLATURE  
FIRST REGULAR SESSION  
JOURNAL OF THE SENATE**

In Senate Chamber  
Tuesday  
March 29, 2005

Senate called to order by President Beth Edmonds of  
Cumberland County.

---

Prayer by Marian E. Claxton, a Hospice Chaplin of Auburn.

**MS. CLAXTON:** May the spirit of meditation be here among us. From differing corners of our beautiful state, these women and men have gathered here this morning. Different individuals with disparate life paths, vocations, perspectives, and values; but different though they may be, they join together as one each and every time they convene a legislative session such as this. To prepare for the sacred work that lies before them this day, we ask that their time together be marked by courage, compassion, and commitment. Impulses that dwell and grow within us all, nourished by a spirit of life and love which moves through us and throughout all the world. The courage to dream of a healthier, less fragmented society. The compassion to notice the needs and vulnerabilities of so many around us and to take responsible actions to address those needs. The commitment to believe that every single effort made on behalf of others matters. Today may the members of this Senate maintain the highest sense of their calling, remembering that they are invested here with honor and called to a wider vision for the people of this State of Maine, of this nation, and of the world. May it be so today and always. Amen.

---

Doctor of the day, Dr. Tamara Todd of Portland.

---

The President requested the Sergeant-At-Arms escort the Senator from Kennebec, Senator **MITCHELL** to the rostrum where she assumed the duties as President Pro Tem.

The President retired from the Chamber.

The Senate called to order by President Pro Tem, **ELIZABETH H. MITCHELL** of Kennebec County.

---

Reading of the Journal of Monday, March 28, 2005.

---

Off Record Remarks

---

**SENATE PAPERS**

Bill "An Act To Amend the Statutes Relating to Juveniles"  
S.P. 520 L.D. 1504

Sponsored by Senator DIAMOND of Cumberland.  
Cosponsored by Representative SYKES of Harrison and Senator: CLUKEY of Aroostook, Representatives: BLANCHETTE of Bangor, CHURCHILL of Washburn, GERZOFISKY of Brunswick. Submitted by the Department of Corrections pursuant to Joint Rule 204.

Bill "An Act To Amend the Sentencing Laws" (EMERGENCY)  
S.P. 521 L.D. 1505

Sponsored by Senator DIAMOND of Cumberland.  
(GOVERNOR'S BILL)  
Cosponsored by Representative BLANCHETTE of Bangor and Senator: CLUKEY of Aroostook, Representatives: CHURCHILL of Washburn, GERZOFISKY of Brunswick, GROSE of Woolwich, SYKES of Harrison.

On motion by Senator **DIAMOND** of Cumberland, **REFERRED** to the Committee on **CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY** and ordered printed.

Sent down for concurrence.

---

Bill "An Act To Update the Laws Governing Borrow Pits and Quarries"  
S.P. 522 L.D. 1506

Sponsored by Senator NASS of York.  
Cosponsored by Representative KOFFMAN of Bar Harbor and Senator: MITCHELL of Kennebec, Representative: LERMAN of Augusta.  
Submitted by the Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to Joint Rule 204.

On motion by Senator **COWGER** of Kennebec, **REFERRED** to the Committee on **NATURAL RESOURCES** and ordered printed.

Sent down for concurrence.

---

All matters thus acted upon were ordered sent down forthwith for concurrence.

---

**ORDERS**

**Joint Orders**

Expressions of Legislative Sentiment recognizing:

The members of the Cony High School Girls Basketball Team, of Augusta, on winning the State Class A Basketball Championship. The championship completed an undefeated season for the Rams and is their 6th state title, the first since 1998. We extend our congratulations to the team and the coaches on their victory;  
SLS 204

Sponsored by Senator MITCHELL of Kennebec.  
Cosponsored by Senator: WOODCOCK of Franklin,  
Representatives: DAVIS of Augusta, LERMAN of Augusta,  
BROWNE of Vassalboro.

**READ.**

**THE PRESIDENT PRO TEM:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Senator **WOODCOCK** of Franklin.

Senator **WOODCOCK:** Thank you, Madame President, ladies and gentlemen of the Senate. I would first comment that I am very appreciative of Madame President's allowing me to say a few words on her behalf.

We have with us today a unique basketball program. Six state championships for Cony High School girls is, from my perspective, an accomplishment far beyond the simple stating of six state championships. You can't do that without an ongoing commitment to teaching the game of basketball. I think it's particularly appropriate that the Cony girls completed their season by winning the last girl's championship and ending an era at the Bangor Auditorium. The Bangor Auditorium has special memories for many in this body and for many in attendance today. In fact, if I'm not mistaken, I think in attendance today we have coaches who have won twelve Class A State Championships. It does pain me a bit to say Coach Vachon has half of those, however. I would comment also that behind this program are some exceptional people. It's one thing to play, it's another thing to observe. I want to congratulate Paul's wife in particular, Deb, who has for many years attended the games and been supportive of the Cony High School Girls Basketball program. I'd like to take this opportunity to thank Rollie St. Pierre and David Hopkins for their efforts on behalf of the Cony girls. In particular, I'd like to mention a gentleman who doesn't get credited as being the true genius of the Cony program over the years, 'Yowl'. Seriously, congratulations to Cony, an unparalleled record of championships, a program of true excellence, recognized nationally for their accomplishments. It's an honor for me today to be able to say congratulations to the Cony High School girls for their State Championship in Class A Basketball. Thank you, Madame President.

**THE PRESIDENT PRO TEM:** The President Pro Tem will not be speaking but she wears proudly her red suit in honor of the Cony girls.

**PASSED.**

Sent down for concurrence.

Jordan Cook, a junior at Hampden Academy, upon his receiving the Bangor Daily News' William C. Warner Most Valuable Player Award and being named to the Eastern Class A Boys Basketball All-Tournament Team. The recipient of this award, which was established in 1994 in memory of a former Bangor Daily News sports editor and reporter, and an all-tourney team are selected by votes of the media, tournament personnel and representatives of the Maine Principals' Association. We extend our congratulations to Jordan on his receiving this well-earned honor, and we send him our best wishes on his future endeavors;  
SLS 212

Sponsored by Senator PLOWMAN of Penobscot.  
Cosponsored by Senator: WESTON of Waldo, Representatives:  
DUPREY of Hampden, KAELIN of Winterport.

**PASSED.**

**THE PRESIDENT PRO TEM:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Penobscot, Senator Plowman.

Senator **PLOWMAN:** Thank you, Madame President. Good morning everyone. As happens so often, things get going back and forth between the chambers. I have a special sentiment for a young man named Jordan Cook. Jordan Cook plays on the Hampden basketball team and has received the Bangor Daily News William C. Warner Most Valuable Player Award and has been named to the Principals' Association as a most valuable player. Jordan is, unfortunately, being recognized in the House right now, so he's not here. When you see a 6'10" young man come in, he'll stand out and he does stand out. I've known Jordan since he was 4-years old. He's now a Junior at Hampden Academy, so we still have him for next year Senator Strimling. He's going to keep playing hard for us. He's a wonderful young man. He really puts his heart and soul into playing. He was raised by a Maine basketball player, Paul Cook, so we assume he'll stay with us, hopefully because we'd like to have him at Maine, and make us all proud. When he does come over I'd like to be able to recognize him in the back. Thank you for giving me this opportunity to go on the record and recognize Jordan for his accomplishments.

**PASSED.**

Sent down for concurrence.

---

**REPORTS OF COMMITTEES**

**House**

**Ought to Pass**

The Committee on **EDUCATION AND CULTURAL AFFAIRS** on Resolve, Regarding Legislative Review of Chapter 125: Calendar Adoption, a Major Substantive Rule of the Department of Education (EMERGENCY)

H.P. 340 L.D. 465

Reported that the same **Ought to Pass.**

Comes from the House with the Report **READ** and **ACCEPTED** and the Resolve **PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED**.

Report **READ** and **ACCEPTED**, in concurrence.

**READ ONCE.**

**ASSIGNED FOR SECOND READING NEXT LEGISLATIVE DAY.**

---

The Committee on **JUDICIARY** on Bill "An Act To Make Technical Corrections to Maine's Appellate Jurisdiction Laws Concerning Involuntary Hospitalization"

H.P. 189 L.D. 250

Reported that the same **Ought to Pass**.

Comes from the House with the Report **READ** and **ACCEPTED** and the Bill **PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED**.

Report **READ** and **ACCEPTED**, in concurrence.

**READ ONCE.**

**ASSIGNED FOR SECOND READING NEXT LEGISLATIVE DAY.**

---

**Ought to Pass As Amended**

The Committee on **INSURANCE AND FINANCIAL SERVICES** on Bill "An Act To Establish a Minimum Amount for Required Interest Payments by Insurers"

H.P. 176 L.D. 237

Reported that the same **Ought to Pass as Amended by Committee Amendment "A" (H-43)**.

Comes from the House with the Report **READ** and **ACCEPTED** and the Bill **PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED AS AMENDED BY COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" (H-43)**.

Report **READ** and **ACCEPTED**, in concurrence.

**READ ONCE.**

Committee Amendment "A" (H-43) **READ** and **ADOPTED**, in concurrence.

**ASSIGNED FOR SECOND READING NEXT LEGISLATIVE DAY.**

---

The Committee on **INSURANCE AND FINANCIAL SERVICES** on Bill "An Act To Amend the Insurance Code Regarding Discontinuance of a Line of Business"

H.P. 177 L.D. 238

Reported that the same **Ought to Pass as Amended by Committee Amendment "A" (H-44)**.

Comes from the House with the Report **READ** and **ACCEPTED** and the Bill **PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED AS AMENDED BY COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" (H-44)**.

Report **READ** and **ACCEPTED**, in concurrence.

**READ ONCE.**

Committee Amendment "A" (H-44) **READ** and **ADOPTED**, in concurrence.

**ASSIGNED FOR SECOND READING NEXT LEGISLATIVE DAY.**

---

The Committee on **INSURANCE AND FINANCIAL SERVICES** on Bill "An Act To Enhance Uniformity of Insurance Producer Licensing"

H.P. 278 L.D. 376

Reported that the same **Ought to Pass as Amended by Committee Amendment "A" (H-45)**.

Comes from the House with the Report **READ** and **ACCEPTED** and the Bill **PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED AS AMENDED BY COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" (H-45)**.

Report **READ** and **ACCEPTED**, in concurrence.

**READ ONCE.**

Committee Amendment "A" (H-45) **READ** and **ADOPTED**, in concurrence.

**ASSIGNED FOR SECOND READING NEXT LEGISLATIVE DAY.**

---

**Divided Report**

The Majority of the Committee on **TAXATION** on Bill "An Act To Exempt Alternative Power Systems from Property Taxes"

H.P. 130 L.D. 179

Reported that the same **Ought Not to Pass**.

Signed:

Senators:

PERRY of Penobscot  
STRIMLING of Cumberland

Representatives:

WOODBURY of Yarmouth  
HANLEY of Paris  
CLARK of Millinocket  
McCORMICK of West Gardiner  
CLOUGH of Scarborough  
PINEAU of Jay  
BIERMAN of Sorrento  
HUTTON of Bowdoinham  
SEAVEY of Kennebunkport  
WATSON of Bath

The Minority of the same Committee on the same subject reported that the same **Ought To Pass as Amended by Committee Amendment "A" (H-76).**

Signed:

Senator:  
COURTNEY of York

Comes from the House with the Majority **OUGHT NOT TO PASS** Report **READ** and **ACCEPTED**.

Reports **READ**.

Senator **STRIMLING** of Cumberland moved the Senate **ACCEPT** the Majority **OUGHT NOT TO PASS** Report, in concurrence.

On further motion by same Senator, **TABLED** until Later in Today's Session, pending the motion by same Senator to **ACCEPT** the Majority **OUGHT NOT TO PASS** Report, in concurrence.

The President Pro Tem requested the Sergeant-At-Arms escort the Senator from Cumberland, Senator **EDMONDS** to the rostrum where she resumed her duties as President.

The Sergeant-At-Arms escorted the Senator from Kennebec, Senator **MITCHELL** to her seat on the floor.

Senate called to order by the President.

Off Record Remarks

Senate at Ease.

Senate called to order by the President.

**SECOND READERS**

The Committee on **Bills in the Second Reading** reported the following:

**House**

Bill "An Act To Clarify Maine's Authority To Enforce Its Marine Resources Laws"  
H.P. 319 L.D. 434

**READ A SECOND TIME** and **PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED**, in concurrence.

**House As Amended**

Bill "An Act To Allow Small Stores To Conduct Wine Taste Testings"  
H.P. 203 L.D. 278  
(C "A" H-42)

Bill "An Act To Provide Equal Treatment for All Veterans in the Laws Governing the Maine State Retirement System"+  
H.P. 263 L.D. 350  
(C "A" H-32)

Bill "An Act To Amend the Laws Relating to Unemployment Compensation"  
H.P. 310 L.D. 425  
(C "A" H-31)

Resolve, Authorizing the Commissioner of Administrative and Financial Services To Place under Option or Right of First Refusal Certain Property along State Street, Augusta, Maine  
H.P. 364 L.D. 489  
(C "A" H-40)

Resolve, Authorizing the Commissioner of Administrative and Financial Services To Sell or Lease the Interests of the State in Certain Real Estate Located along the New Belgrade Road in Augusta  
H.P. 379 L.D. 504  
(C "A" H-41)

Bill "An Act To Provide for a Limited Sea Cucumber Fishery"  
H.P. 435 L.D. 602  
(C "A" H-38)

**READ A SECOND TIME** and **PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED AS AMENDED**, in concurrence.

**Senate**

Bill "An Act To Clarify the Authority of Podiatrists To Use Assistants" (EMERGENCY)  
S.P. 248 L.D. 750

Bill "An Act To Amend the Laws Regarding the Licensure of Dentists, Dental Hygienists and Denturists"  
S.P. 314 L.D. 906

**READ A SECOND TIME** and **PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED**.

Sent down for concurrence.

---

Bill "An Act To Extend Civil Rights Protections to All People Regardless of Sexual Orientation"

S.P. 413 L.D. 1196

**READ A SECOND TIME.**

On motion by Senator **HOBBS** of York, **TABLED** until Later in Today's Session, pending **PASSAGE TO BE ENGROSSED.**

---

Off Record Remarks

---

**Senate As Amended**

Bill "An Act To Make Technical Changes to the Laws Establishing the Lincoln and Sagadahoc Multicounty Jail Authority" (EMERGENCY)

S.P. 242 L.D. 744  
(C "A" S-31)

**READ A SECOND TIME and PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED AS AMENDED.**

Sent down for concurrence.

---

All matters thus acted upon were ordered sent down forthwith for concurrence.

---

**ORDERS OF THE DAY**

**Unfinished Business**

The following matter in the consideration of which the Senate was engaged at the time of Adjournment had preference in the Orders of the Day and continued with such preference until disposed of as provided by Senate Rule 516.

The Chair laid before the Senate the following Tabled and Later (3/23/05) Assigned matter:

Bill "An Act To Provide Public Health Protection Authority to the Department of Marine Resources"

S.P. 228 L.D. 691

Tabled - March 23, 2005, by Senator **DAMON** of Hancock

Pending - **PASSAGE TO BE ENGROSSED**

(In Senate, March 23, 2005, **READ A SECOND TIME.**)

On motion by Senator **DAMON** of Hancock, Senate Amendment "A" (S-45) **READ** and **ADOPTED.**

**PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED AS AMENDED BY SENATE AMENDMENT "A" (S-45).**

Ordered sent down forthwith for concurrence.

---

Off Record Remarks

---

Senate at Ease.

Senate called to order by the President.

---

The Chair laid before the Senate the following Tabled and Later Today Assigned matter:

Bill "An Act To Extend Civil Rights Protections to All People Regardless of Sexual Orientation"

S.P. 413 L.D. 1196

Tabled - March 29, 2005, by Senator **HOBBS** of York

Pending - **PASSAGE TO BE ENGROSSED**

(In House, March 8, 2005, **REFERRED** to the Committee on **JUDICIARY** and ordered printed, in concurrence.)

(In Senate, March 29, 2005, **READ A SECOND TIME.**)

On motion by Senator **PLOWMAN** of Penobscot, Senate Amendment "A" (S-44) **READ.**

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Penobscot, Senator Plowman.

Senator **PLOWMAN:** Thank you, Madame President, men and women of the Senate. I am offering this amendment that, basically, sends L.D. 1196 out for referendum. Right now we are in a position you should recognize. We're out of concurrence. The public has voted 'no' and we have voted 'yes'. We need to send this back out to the public to tell us whether they are insisting on their position or whether they are going to recede and concur with us. It's as simple as that. We have two different positions. I don't see any fairer way to do this. I offer this amendment for your consideration and I ask you to vote in favor. Thank you.

Senator **HOBBS** of York moved to **INDEFINITELY POSTPONE** Senate Amendment "A" (S-44).

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from York, Senator Hobbins.

Senator **HOBBS:** Thank you very much, Madame President. Since the public hearing of last week there has been much clamor

regarding whether or not there should be a referendum clause attached to this bill because a common question is asked, 'Why are we even talking about L.D. 1196 when the people have already spoken?' I think that's what the good Senator from Penobscot, Senator Plowman, has mentioned. If this were an Initiated Petition piece of legislation initially, then I think it should go back to the people. It would have more thought to go back to the people. The first non-discrimination bill was originated in the legislature and started in the legislature in 1977. Since that time there has not been any type of initiative petition referendum for this bill. The bill originated in the House of Representatives in the State Senate. It originated in the legislature. It did not originate by a citizens initiative referendum.

It is interesting to note that the assertions are that the people have spoken on this issue. If you actually look at the totals, and I urge you to go to the State's website on tabulations of state elections, you will find something very interesting. You've heard the same record over and over again saying and playing, 'We voted on it two times, we voted against it, we don't want it again.' That's not exactly correct. This issue has really been voted upon, and the people have spoken on it, three times in a statewide referendum. Unfortunately, they forget about the referendum of 1995. If you take the vote of 1995, 1998, and 2000 and you take your calculator out, when all the votes are counted on those three elections, those who voted for anti-discrimination had more votes than those who voted anti-gay. The people did speak. If you take the totals, it was 50% to 49% for non-discrimination. To be exact, the vote was 673,727 votes were cast for anti-discrimination and 658,236 votes were cast by those who have an anti-gay position. Those are the figures. So don't let anyone try to tell you that the people have spoken twice and we don't need to take this vote again. Unless the citizen initiative bill begins in the legislature, it's really the vote in this body and the other body that should decide an issue such as this.

The Constitution has a provision that allows for what is known as a 'people's veto'. Yes, that veto has been exercised on two occasions, but it initiated with the people to put the vote out to referendum. This is about respecting and following a certain process. We are following that process, and if it comes down to a situation where this bill is signed into law, those who oppose this bill can exercise their constitutional right under the so-called 'people's veto' and bring this matter before the voters again. Unfortunately, ballot questions sometimes undermine democratic government. They have shown in the past some times to exploit the public's knee-jerk disdain for politicians, like us, in the process as a whole. They allow elected leaders, such as us, to abdicate their leadership and hide behind and hide from those tough issues. I know when I took the oath of office the first time when I was a young man, and as I took the oath of office again on December 1<sup>st</sup> of last year, I took the office because I believe that leaders are elected to lead. Real leaders don't hide behind ballot questions. Ballot questions give us, and I'll quote David Broder, 'Not a government of laws, but laws without government.' I urge you to vote in favor of the pending motion to indefinitely postpone.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from York, Senator Sullivan.

Senator **SULLIVAN:** Thank you, Madame President, men and women of the Senate. Yesterday we discussed and debated this issue. I heard both sides of the aisle speak about civil rights. Civil rights. I ask you, in a democracy, when do we allow the

majority to vote for the civil rights of the minority? The very reason for a constitution, the very reason for laws, is to protect the minorities. Approximately 10% of our nation, and of the world, has a sexual orientation that is different from the normal. They are the minority and a constitution, in a free world, protects the minority. It's as simple as that. We, as lawmakers, set public policy. We are the only state in New England that does not say to its citizens that 90% of us will protect the civil rights of the 10% minority.

I'm proud of my faith. I was very moved by the Senator from Lincoln, Senator Dow, as he spoke of his faith yesterday. Yes, I was surprised to hear he had gone to seminary. That is the faith I know, the faith where you judge people by their deeds. I would ask us to vote for this motion to indefinitely postpone so that the 90% of us can ensure that 10% of this population is granted their civil rights. We are all created in God's image. Thank you.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Piscataquis, Senator Davis.

Senator **DAVIS:** Thank you very much, Madame President. With all good respect to my good friend from York, Senator Hobbins, I heard him speak on the radio this morning and enjoyed his talk. It made the miles pass by a little faster. Not that I was speeding.

I believe, though, with all respect for his intentions, the first vote that was taken was a completely different question than the last two. I think to add them all up together might, possibly, give you an incorrect answer. If my good friend is correct, why not send it to the people? Let them make the decision. I see nothing wrong with that.

I enjoyed his speech just a few minutes ago, too, because he spoke of going back to the people, the people's knee-jerk reaction, and all that type of thing. I had a politician a while ago tell me how wrong the people were about a different issue and I told him maybe I'd look in the mirror, maybe they were wrong about some other things. Anyways, Madame President, I'd urge a negative vote on this.

On further motion by same Senator, supported by a Division of one-fifth of the members present and voting, a Roll Call was ordered.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Penobscot, Senator Plowman.

Senator **PLOWMAN:** Thank you, Madame President, men and women of the Senate. I sure wish I could roll all the votes in three different elections into some nice statistic and maybe change the balance of power in the Senate. Unfortunately, you only get to vote once in each election. That's the law. I know everybody here knows that.

Isn't it interesting how a citizen initiative makes people citizens and a people's veto makes them just people. They are really the same. They are our constituents. They want a voice in this. They have had a voice in this in the past. These numbers are pretty close. Every time I hear 90% to 10% I look back at the numbers that the Senator from York, Senator Hobbins, just gave me. I don't see 90 versus 10. I see a 2% margin. We all live under very close decisions. The Supreme Court makes them every day, 5 to 4. Some of my least favorite decisions that I live under have been decided 5 to 4. It doesn't mean that this is the way things are going to go. Most of the e-mail that I've gotten are

not from Gay people, they are from concerned citizens who want to be involved. The 90 - 10 is not how the vote is going to come out. I really think that this ought to go back to vote. I'm not hiding behind anything. My roll call is up there for everybody. It is the 9<sup>th</sup> year that I'll have roll call votes for people to look at. None of you get to hide. You take a position. You take it for whatever reason. I'm asking you to vote against this motion. There is going to be a debate. Let the debate start without having to have people run around and get 60,000 signatures.

As a little side note, the people who will be running around for signatures may be carrying another people's veto with them. I understand they are not happy about a majority budget either.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Cumberland, Senator Bartlett.

Senator **BARTLETT:** Thank you, Madame President. With all due respect to the Senator from Penobscot, Senator Plowman, I think the fundamental issue behind this amendment is who is going to bear the burden of persuasion heading into an election? Under a people's veto there is an existing law providing protection for those who fear discrimination. The burden is on those who wish to repeal that law, who wish to live under discriminatory laws, to bear the burden of proof. If we go the other way and say we're going to send it to the ballot box, there is no legal protection or process. The burden then falls on those who are discriminated against, those who are repressed, those who are being fired from their jobs because of their sexual orientation to bear the burden of trying to persuade others to support their point of view. Just imagine a situation where you have say, a phone bank staffed by people who are supportive of anti-discrimination laws, based on sexual identity or sexual orientation, and imagine making a call and you happen to hit a co-worker who recognizes your voice. Maybe your boss, even. You show up the next day at work and you are fired because the law doesn't protect you. By enacting this directly and putting the burden on those who would discriminate, we're providing protection for the very group who is seeking redress so that they cannot be fired, they cannot be denied housing or other accommodations, simply because of their sexual orientation or because they are promoting their own rights. To me, that is a fundamental difference that makes this so different than most other issues that we deal with. For that reason I urge you to vote in favor of this motion.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Penobscot, Senator Schneider.

Senator **SCHNEIDER:** Thank you, Madame President. So many eloquent words have been said about this issue, but I feel it's important enough for me to stand and share with this body that I've received, by far and away, the vast majority of constituent, by phone, fax, e-mail, and in person, overwhelming support for this move to protect people's sexual orientation. I also think it's really important to note that even if you are perceived to be Gay you can be discriminated against. It can be used as an excuse.

I do want to share with you an e-mail I had received from somebody who does oppose this and my response to the opposition. One of the notes that were made was about special rights. I said, 'Thank you for your opinion. The rights that you speak about being 'special' are the same 'special' rights that I am protected under the law so that I'm not discriminated against by others just simply because of my gender. I assure you the

pending legislation is not about marriage,' which this individual did bring up. 'I don't believe government should tell our religious institutions what marriage is or is not. Government should stay out of the business of our churches. Regardless of one's belief, no one should be turned away from, for example, getting a bank loan just because one is female or one is of a different religion of the person taking that bank loan or is a homosexual. On this Easter Day,' it was about 11 o'clock at night when I was responding to this e-mail, 'I pray for kindness, unconditional love, peace, and all the wonderful qualities Jesus embodies.' In general, I do my best to walk a mile in the other person's shoes. If one person is discriminated against, I think but for the grace of God there go I. This is the way I am moved to live and I would support the motion to indefinitely postpone and I encourage you to stand up and recognize that but for the grace of God there go you. Thank you.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Franklin, Senator Woodcock.

Senator **WOODCOCK:** Thank you, Madame President. I referred to the bill yesterday that was before us and we are addressing this morning and the motion, in a sense, as a concern for the expression of the wording of the bill where it says 'gender identity' or 'expression or perception' of that. I've heard a couple of comments made this morning that offend me and I'd just like to make a comment about that, if I might.

Those who have stated to us that, if we oppose this bill and oppose the motion, we might be anti-gay or discriminatory. From my perspective, it is entirely not true. I'm opposing the motion before us because I feel the wording of this bill is not the wording that I would like to see go forward. It is not an expression of being anti-gay. It is not an expression of being discriminatory. I am offended that somebody would make that accusation. Thank you.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The pending question before the Senate is the motion by the Senator from York, Senator Hobbins to Indefinitely Postpone Senate Amendment "A" (S-44). A Roll Call has been ordered. Is the Senate ready for the question?

The Doorkeepers secured the Chamber.

The Secretary opened the vote.

ROLL CALL (#29)

**YEAS:** Senators: BARTLETT, BRENNAN, BROMLEY, BRYANT, COWGER, DAMON, DIAMOND, DOW, GAGNON, HOBBS, MARTIN, MAYO, MITCHELL, NUTTING, PERRY, ROSEN, ROTUNDO, SCHNEIDER, STRIMLING, SULLIVAN, TURNER, THE PRESIDENT - BETH G. EDMONDS

**NAYS:** Senators: ANDREWS, CLUKEY, COURTNEY, DAVIS, HASTINGS, MILLS, NASS, PLOWMAN, RAYE, SAVAGE, SNOWE-MELLO, WESTON, WOODCOCK

22 Senators having voted in the affirmative and 13 Senators having voted in the negative, the motion by Senator **HOBBS** of York to **INDEFINITELY POSTPONE** Senate Amendment "A" (S-44), **PREVAILED**.

On motion by Senator **PLOWMAN** of Penobscot, Senate Amendment "B" (S-48) **READ**.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Penobscot, Senator Plowman.

Senator **PLOWMAN:** Thank you, Madame President, men and women of the Senate. As this bill moves to the other body and probably to enactment, I'd like to talk about what's going to happen at the Human Rights Commission. Every year we have a huge amount of participation, or every two years when we take up the bill, with people that have a belief or a perception that they have been discriminated against. This amendment says you need to put your money where your mouth is. If you think that you have been discriminated against, take your case to the Maine Human Rights Commission but just don't take any body willy-nilly because if you lose then you are going to have to pay the cost of the people that you've taken there. It's known in Canada as loser pays and it helps their system a lot. That is my amendment. I'd like to put it forth for your consideration because the wording here is going to have to be interpreted by somebody somewhere. It's going to go, I guess, to the Commission and be fought out by the lawyers because there is a lot of murkiness around the definitions that we were given. When asked, members of the committee told me that there wasn't a lot of discussion in committee regarding that wording. What I'd like to do is put a little onus on the people who make the claims; they are going to have to be able to prove it when they get there. I ask you to support my motion. Thank you.

Senator **HOBBS** of York moved to **INDEFINITELY POSTPONE** Senate Amendment "B" (S-48).

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from York, Senator Hobbs.

Senator **HOBBS:** Thank you very much, Madame President. I was a little taken back by this amendment, of course, because I didn't think that the debate what is known as 'tort reform' would begin so early during the process. This is a winner take all British system of tort reform, but I'd just like to pose a question. I don't mean this in any way to be disrespectful, because I just want to make sure that I get this amendment.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Senator may pose his question.

Senator **HOBBS:** Thank you. Is it the good Senator's intention that the loser pay provision only apply in cases that are brought before the Maine Human Rights Commission regarding matter of sexual orientation?

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Senator from York, Senator Hobbs poses a question through the Chair to anyone who may wish to answer. The Chair recognizes the Senator from Penobscot, Senator Plowman.

Senator **PLOWMAN:** No, I didn't want to create a special class for this to be considered so I made it a general provision. If you

appear before the Maine Human Rights Commission, which is a very expensive place to be if you are a defendant, you need to be able to have a real good reason to be there. No, I did not set it up just for one category. It's for all. Thank you.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from York, Senator Hobbs.

Senator **HOBBS:** Thank you very much, Madame President. If I may, through you, Madame President, ask whether or not the amendment before us is germane to the bill with respect to how far it encompasses a provision that is outside the scope of this particular issue.

Same Senator inquired if Senate Amendment "B" (S-48) was **GERMANE**.

**TABLED** pending **RULING OF THE CHAIR**.

---

Out of order and under suspension of the Rules, the Senate considered the following:

#### **PAPERS FROM THE HOUSE**

##### **Non-Concurrent Matter**

Bill "An Act Making Unified Appropriations and Allocations for the Expenditures of State Government, Highway Funds and Changing Certain Provisions of the Law Necessary to the Proper Operations of State Government for the Fiscal Years Ending June 30, 2006 and June 30, 2007" (EMERGENCY)

H.P. 534 L.D. 739  
(S "A" S-42 to C "A" H-72)

In House, March 24, 2005, **PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED AS AMENDED BY COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" (H-72)**.

In Senate, March 28, 2005, **PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED AS AMENDED BY COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" (H-72) AS AMENDED BY SENATE AMENDMENT "A" (S-42)** thereto, in **NON-CONCURRENCE**.

Comes from the House, that Body **INSISTED**.

On motion by Senator **DAMON** of Hancock, the Senate **RECEDED** and **CONCURRED**.

---

Out of order and under suspension of the Rules, the Senate considered the following:

#### **PAPERS FROM THE HOUSE**

##### **House Paper**

Bill "An Act To Amend the Economic Development Statutes"  
H.P. 1055 L.D. 1503



Comes from the House, **REFERRED** to the Committee on **BUSINESS, RESEARCH AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT** and ordered printed.

On motion by Senator **BROMLEY** of Cumberland, **REFERRED** to the Committee on **BUSINESS, RESEARCH AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT** and ordered printed, in concurrence.

---

Out of order and under suspension of the Rules, the Senate considered the following:

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

##### Senate

##### Ought to Pass As Amended

Senator GAGNON for the Committee on **LEGAL AND VETERANS AFFAIRS** on Bill "An Act Regarding the Gambling Control Board" (EMERGENCY)

S.P. 32 L.D. 90

Reported that the same **Ought to Pass as Amended by Committee Amendment "A" (S-47)**.

Report **READ** and **ACCEPTED**.

**READ ONCE**.

Committee Amendment "A" (S-47) **READ** and **ADOPTED**.

Under suspension of the Rules, **READ A SECOND TIME** and **PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED AS AMENDED**.

Sent down for concurrence.

---

All matters thus acted upon were ordered sent down forthwith for concurrence.

---

Senate at Ease.

Senate called to order by the President.

---

#### ORDERS OF THE DAY

The Chair laid before the Senate the following matter Tabled pending a Ruling of the Chair:

Bill "An Act To Extend Civil Rights Protections to All People Regardless of Sexual Orientation"

S.P. 413 L.D. 1196

Tabled - March 29, 2005

Pending - **RULING OF THE CHAIR**

(In House, March 8, 2005, **REFERRED** to the Committee on **JUDICIARY** and ordered printed, in concurrence.)

(In Senate, March 29, 2005, **READ A SECOND TIME**. On motion by Senator **PLOWMAN** of Penobscot, Senate Amendment "A" (S-44) **READ**. On motion by Senator **HOBBS** of York, **INDEFINITELY POSTPONED**. On motion by Senator **PLOWMAN** of Penobscot, Senate Amendment "B" (S-48) **READ**. Senator **HOBBS** of York moved to **INDEFINITELY POSTPONE**. Same Senator inquired if Senate Amendment "B" (S-48) was **GERMANE**.)

The Chair **RULED SENATE AMENDMENT "B" (S-48) GERMANE**.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Aroostook, Senator Martin.

Senator **MARTIN:** Thank you, Madame President and members of the Senate. As one of the original supporters in 1971 that dealt specifically with the creation of the Maine Human Rights Commission, this amendment bothers me a great deal. I well remember the debate on the enactment of this piece of legislation. As a matter of fact, I quoted at length on the floor from a book called The History of Aroostook written by a Mr. Wiggans, as I recall, with a foreword by Mr. Collins, in which they describe visiting the St. John Valley and how pleased they were to see the American flag flying in Ft. Kent as they came across the hill. To see those 'gay, loving people', the French, and how they were pleased to see those French individuals and citizens now supporting the American flag. The issue of discrimination had nothing to do with Gay and Lesbians as we are now looking at it today.

The reason why this language is not into the law that is now being purported to be made part of the Maine Human Rights Act was because of individuals who can't afford a lawyer. Look at the people who make the claims before the Maine Human Rights Commission presently, having nothing to do with the future, since the creation of the law in 1971. Go to the Commission and see the people who appear. You can answer the question yourself as to whether or not they can afford an attorney because you will know they can't. That is why the Maine Human Rights Commission exists. You see, if those of us in this body have a problem with discrimination, we can just sue and go right to civil cases before the Superior Court of this state and bring our cases forth. We don't need the Human Rights Commission because we can go on matters of business, matters of individual attacks, or on libel. On those things, we go right to court and we can afford the attorney. The Maine Human Rights Commission was created to allow poor people that ability which they do not have today. This amendment has nothing to do, as far as I'm concerned, with the issue before us on this bill. More, however, it is an attempt to destroy the commission. I implore you, nothing to do with the issue, to defeat this on its lack of merit as to what it will do on all cases that come before the commission. Finally, I would simply add to my Republican colleague, was there a public hearing on this issue?

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Penobscot, Senator Plowman.

Senator **PLOWMAN**: Senator Martin, maybe we'll talk about the budget discussion in a little while because I'm pretty sure there are some things in there that haven't had public hearings either. Back to the subject at hand. 1971 was a long time ago. This act, the act that we are discussing today, wouldn't have gotten passed in 1971. It wouldn't have even come up. Lawyers didn't advertise, 'See me free for the first time and I'll tell you if you have a case' back in 1971. Lawyers didn't advertise. Things have changed. You are going to see it right here today. If you feel that you have a good case, take it. You need to be sure. That's what this amendment says. While times are changing, I think it's totally appropriate to bring this amendment before the body. I can count, but I still bring it anyway because I want to air the issue and I'll be looking forward to discussion with the Senator from Aroostook, Senator Martin.

On motion by Senator **PLOWMAN** of Penobscot, supported by a Division of one-fifth of the members present and voting, a Roll Call was ordered.

**THE PRESIDENT**: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Somerset, Senator Mills.

Senator **MILLS**: Madame President and men and women of the Senate, as is so often the case with issues that now come before us, the actual status of the current law is a lot more complicated than I think people are assuming from the nature of the debate that we have so far been witness to. The good Senator's amendment would, if enacted, impose council fees on the losing claimant at the administrative stage of investigation into a human rights claim. The Human Rights Act, which I had a hand in drafting when I was a second year law student in 1971, actually contemplates the non-use of attorneys by either side at the administrative stage. Typically, how this happens is that somebody that perceives that they have been discriminated against fills out a long sheet of paper, a questionnaire, a standard form. They can do that by themselves and frequently they do. Very seldom do they really hire council to initiate a claim. Often times the employer does not hire council to respond. In fact, many times if the claim is self-evident, that there is no basis for a discrimination claim under our law, the Human Rights Commission will write a nice letter back to the claimant saying, 'Thank you for your form but it really isn't anything we can look into because what has happened to you doesn't fall under the various discrimination categories that we are concerned about.' If the landlord or the bank or the housing agency or the employer is brought into it by the Human Rights Commission, they can step in and simply answer the questions, very informally, that are addressed by the Human Rights investigator assigned to look into the claim. This is very informal. It's a bit inquisitorial, but the consequences are fairly loose at this early stage. No body can impose monetary sanctions on either party for how they respond during the administrative process. If the administrative process either fails to resolve the claim or get rid of the claim or if there is no outcome as a result of this attempt by the Human Rights Commission to resolve it informally, then there is an option of the claimant to go forward and go into court. That is where the ante is upped and we have a law that the judge in a case may impose council fees on either side. So if there is an improvidently brought claim, and it goes so far as to get into the court system, there is a right existing now to impose council fees either on the employer

or on the employee, if it is a job discrimination claim for example. It rests with the discretion of the court and usually, I suggest, would be awarded if there has been some inappropriate assertion of a claim or defense of a claim, depending on the judge's perception of it. There is also, for the claimant, an additional hurdle. In order to get council fees they have to have had a Right to Sue letter from the Commission and his case has to have been disposed adversely by the Commission. There are several predicates to his being able to make a claim for council fees. I won't bore you with the details. I just want to tell you it's a little bit difficult. The current law sort of contemplates pretty well what the situation should be with regard to council fees. Frankly, to impose council fees on either side at the administrative stage would, with all due respect to the good Senator, be out of sympathy rather with the language of the law that we've had for about 32 years now. Thank you.

**THE PRESIDENT**: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Cumberland, Senator Diamond.

Senator **DIAMOND**: Thank you, Madame President. May I pose a question through the Chair to anyone who may care to answer?

**THE PRESIDENT**: The Senator may pose his question.

Senator **DIAMOND**: I'm one of the few in here who evidently didn't write the Human Rights Act. I need to get some clarity. I appreciate the good Senator describing what the current process is with the court system. The question I have, for anyone who may care to answer, having just got this amendment, is there a definition of frivolous case that could appear before the Human Rights Commission? In other words, is there a formal procedure, other than just reviewing a form? Is there a formal procedure where frivolity could be determined at that level? Thank you, Madame President.

**THE PRESIDENT**: The Senator from Cumberland, Senator Diamond poses a question through the Chair to anyone who may wish to answer. The Chair recognizes the Senator from Somerset, Senator Mills.

Senator **MILLS**: Madame President and men and women of the Senate, I'll take a crack at it. There is certainly no definition of frivolity on behalf of either side in this act in any location. However, it is the purpose of the commission to investigate, at government expense, whether the claim has any threshold of merit and when it does not it is the burden of the commission to write back and dismiss promptly, with as little friction as possible, any claim that is obviously without merit and not in tune with the statute. They are very well trained people and I wish we had two or three more of them. There is no financial sanction on either party at that early stage.

**THE PRESIDENT**: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Penobscot, Senator Plowman.

Senator **PLOWMAN**: Madame President, I'd like to address the question. The word frivolous does not appear anywhere in the amendment. I'll read you section 2. 'If the commission does not find reasonable grounds to believe that unlawful discrimination has occurred, it shall enter an order so finding and dismiss the proceeding and', here's where the amendment is, 'order the

claimant to pay the reasonable attorney's fees and costs of the party defending against the claim.' There has to be a finding that there is no merit to the complaint. It's that simple. It's the job of the commission.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The pending question before the Senate is the motion by the Senator from York, Senator Hobbins to Indefinitely Postpone Senate Amendment "B" (S-48). A Roll Call has been ordered. Is the Senate ready for the question?

The Doorkeepers secured the Chamber.

The Secretary opened the vote.

ROLL CALL (#30)

YEAS: Senators: BARTLETT, BRENNAN, BROMLEY, BRYANT, COWGER, DAMON, DIAMOND, DOW, GAGNON, HASTINGS, HOBBS, MARTIN, MAYO, MILLS, MITCHELL, NUTTING, PERRY, RAYE, ROSEN, ROTUNDO, SCHNEIDER, STRIMLING, SULLIVAN, TURNER, WESTON, WOODCOCK, THE PRESIDENT - BETH G. EDMONDS

NAYS: Senators: ANDREWS, CLUKEY, COURTNEY, DAVIS, NASS, PLOWMAN, SAVAGE, SNOWE-MELLO

27 Senators having voted in the affirmative and 8 Senators having voted in the negative, the motion by Senator **HOBBS** of York to **INDEFINITELY POSTPONE** Senate Amendment "B" (S-48), **PREVAILED**.

**PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED.**

Sent down for concurrence.

---

All matters thus acted upon were ordered sent down forthwith for concurrence.

---

Senate at Ease.

Senate called to order by the President.

---

The Chair laid before the Senate the following Tabled and Later Today Assigned matter:

HOUSE REPORTS - from the Committee on **TAXATION** on Bill "An Act To Exempt Alternative Power Systems from Property Taxes"

H.P. 130 L.D. 179

Majority - **Ought Not to Pass** (12 members)

Minority - **Ought To Pass as Amended by Committee Amendment "A" (H-76)** (1 member)

Tabled - March 29, 2005, by Senator **STRIMLING** of Cumberland

Pending - motion by same Senator to **ACCEPT** the Majority **OUGHT NOT TO PASS** Report, in concurrence

(In House, March 28, 2005, the Majority **OUGHT NOT TO PASS** Report **READ** and **ACCEPTED**.)

(In Senate, March 29, 2005, Reports **READ**.)

On motion by Senator **STRIMLING** of Cumberland, the Majority **OUGHT NOT TO PASS** Report **ACCEPTED**, in concurrence.

---

On motion by Senator **BRENNAN** of Cumberland, **ADJOURNED**, to Wednesday, March 30, 2005, at 10:00 in the morning.